

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application N .</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/916,990	TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Being allowed

 (1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Kevin C. Brown (Reg. 32,402).

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 May 2004
**Time:** -

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Called applicant's representative and asked to amend abstract to reflect the invention. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the abstract by examiner's amendment based on the draft faxed by the applicant's representative.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

186615  
 5/13/04  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)